

3/26/2018	Comment reported by Charlotte Whitt	Contributor Search in Inventory and in Codex Search	<p>At MM-SIG meeting on 3/22/2018 we talked about if we need Contributor Search in Inventory to be the name searched in direct order. Right now the name is indexed last name first, and it's only searchable that way. The MM-SIG was not clear here: Lynn W. thought it should be fixed, and Jacquie S. thinks as long as it is clear. Search on Organizational would be as browse search.</p> <p>Jacquie mentioned that probably Codex Search should provide the search on contributors in direct order, while this search interface are used by all staff.</p>	Open		Charlotte Whitt suggests this to be evaluated in UAT.
3/22/2018	ICs, reported by Ann-Marie Breaux	Permissions	<p>Having to click permissions one at a time to add to a user is awful, especially as the number of permissions grow. Would it be possible to add control-click so that you could click a bunch of permissions at once and then add them? And/or shift-click to add a whole list of permissions at once?</p>	Open		Kimie Kester has created some designs for this which Khalilah Gambrell will be reviewing with the UM SIG for feedback and their take on priority.
3/15/2018	Charlotte Whitt	Inventory Search	<p>When an Unambiguous Match Search is followed by another Unambiguous Match, the Detailed Record does not update correctly if the reset button is not cleared between the two searches. The UX indicate reset the button to the right of the search box, but this may easily be ignored by the user. Two unambiguous searches in a row is an edge case!</p> <p>See attached.</p> 	Open		<p>Charlotte have filed a draft bug-report UI IN-111</p> <p>Maybe this case is more a question for a User test.</p>

3/8/2018	FC reported by Patty Wanninger	Users display	We noticed in testing	Open	Khalilah Gambrell	Mike Taylor - filed a bug
			<p>STCOM-16</p> <p>4 - Filter pane tweak CLOSED</p> <p>that when you first login to the site as diku_admin, the Users page opens with nothing checked so it is blank. However, once you check "active" and see the users, you can continue to see users even if you reset the filters ("x" them out so nothing is selected), on the users page and when you navigate to another tab and back again. Is this the expected behavior?</p>			<p>UISE-48 - W</p> <p>When clearing the search, the record-display area should clear CLOSED</p> <p>(Done - Closed)</p>
3/1/2018	Ann-Marie Breaux	Inventory display	Identifier numbers in the FOLIO instance display do not have the correct labels. For example, some are being labeled as ISBNs when they are definitely not. See attached.	Open	Charlotte Whitt	Verified in FOLIO-Stackable, and I filed a bug-report FOLIO-1106
			 <p>Identifiers In Inventory Recs.pdf</p>			
2/22/2018	Patty Wanninger	Searching items by barcode	It seems to me there should be a barcode search in inventory now that it is merged with item functionality. Right now, it seems the only barcode search of items is in checkout, checkin, and requests. A library will often have only a barcode and wants to be able to enter or scan it as a search key.	Closed - known issue	Cate Boerema	Barcode searching in Inventory was working previously but it has been intentionally disabled while the team works through some performance issues. We can expect it to be re-enabled soon. Thanks!

2/21/2018	Patty Wanninger Julian Ladisch	Testing	It sure would be nice to get exactly the same set of Users and Item data that gets loaded everytime there is a rebuild so we can use the same data and record URLs (item example) when testing and when reporting an issue. Currently user names, user uuids, user barcodes and instance/holding/item uuids are different after rebuild (item barcode is the same but does not have a url).	Closed - in backlog	Cate Boerema	Patty Wanninger created FOLIO-1085 and it's in the backlog.
2/20/18	FSE IC team Patty Wanninger	Users Search	It would be very nice to be able to facet a user search by:Open loans, Active Requests, Proxy/Sponsor/User permissions (if they have any)	Open	Khaliiah Gambrell	Will follow up with Patty to confirm Use Cases.
2/20/18	FSE IC Team Ann-Marie Breau	Users	When viewing a list of users, all columns sort ascending/descending properly except the inactive/active column (the first column). Enter this as a bug?	Closed - in backlog	Cate Boerema	Yes please file a bug. Bug created - see UIU-401 - Status Sort failing in the Users Search/Filter area CLOSED

1.12.2018	<p>FSE IC team</p> <p>reported by Patty Wanninger</p> <p>updated by Ann-Marie Breaux</p>	<p>Users</p> <p>Proxy</p>	<p>we proposed labeling the tab itself Sponsor/Proxy instead of just Proxy. Also, we would like the "Sponsors" tab to be labeled something like "User is Proxy for" and the "Proxy" tab labeled "User is Sponsor of."</p> <p>Or some similar language that implies</p> <p>Proxy = This people listed in this section are proxies for this User</p> <p>Sponsor = The current User is a proxy for the people listed in this section</p> <p>Add'l notes from Ann-Marie: these headers (Sponsor/Proxy) are very confusing, so if they can be relabeled or shown in a graphical way (who is the sponsor, who is the proxy/proxies)</p> <p>At the least, in the individual user, it needs to be super-clear (on the subordinate) that I am sponsored by (important person) and (on the higher-level person) that I have this proxy or these proxies. "By" and "For" seem like important words to make the relationship between the 2 users more clear.</p>	Closed - completed	Cate Boerema	<p>Refined mock-ups based on input and reviewed with the RA SIG. The following new designs were approved and necessary changes will be put into the development backlog. Thanks much for your input and let us know if you have any remaining concerns!</p> <p>https://drive.google.com/file/d/0By8ccf5VV4EWlk4aHplZDZ5b2c/view</p> <p>https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQD0qYfh3T7silACtByGYiISQk-3PUep/view</p>
1.12.2018	<p>FSE IC team</p> <p>reported by Patty Wanninger</p>	<p>User</p> <p>Proxy</p>	<p>We note that currently, you can set up a Sponsor or a Proxy with a date in the past. Perhaps there are use cases to do so, but we think it would be nice to get a pop-up that tells you that date is in the past.</p>	On hold	Cate Boerema	<p>Thanks for this feedback. This warning popup you suggest does seem like a nice-to-have. I'd like to wait for a little more user feedback on its importance before prioritizing it for development.</p>

2.2.2108	FSE IC Team, reported by Patty Wanninger	Requests	<p>In testing https://issues.folio.org/browse/UIREQ-47?filter=10466, we discovered that currently you must fill in an item before you can look for a requestor. Often, a staff member will not have a known item when doing a request, or wants to place the request at the title level and any available copy will do. So it's our opinion that you should be able to start a request either by item, and attach the requestor to it, or by requestor, and attach an item to that person. Also, it might be necessary to be able to search items by something other than a barcode, because, as explained above, sometimes you don't know the number or you want a title-level hold.</p> <p>Also, if you clear the field of an item that is currently displayed, it does not clear the details of that item, which is confusing. What happens is a red-letter note appears under the search box which says, "Please select an item," but the ghost text says "Please scan or enter an item." So the red text at least should say, "Please scan or enter an item."</p>	On hold	Cate Boerema	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title-level requests : While it is important, title-level requesting is not in the plans for v1. • Requesting an item when it's not in-hand: We absolutely need the ability to request an item without having the barcode in hand. We have plans to implement an "item search and select popup" similar to the one we have for finding users when you don't have a barcode to enter/scan. Our hope is that we'll be able to leverage some of the design, if not also the code, being developed for Inventory or Codex search for this purpose. The item search and select popup will be useful in many places beyond requests such as: check out, check in and acquisitions. • Clearing a field: This seems like an issue we should address. I guess we might need a way to clear a selected item without selecting something different. I've created UX-127 so that Kmie Kester can provide some guidance on how this should work.
----------	--	----------	--	---------	--------------	---

2/14/18	FSE IC Team Ann-Marie Breaux	Navigation between Requests and Users	<p>UX Concern:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When you move away from an app, and then come back to it, FOLIO seems to leave the app in the last display state it was. • That means when you click a user name hotlink in the referring app (in this case, the requests app), you get inconsistent results. One time, the tri-pane with user info pane opened. The next time, the user list, with no user info pane. • That seems like a problem. <p>Screenshots and more explanation in the attached PPT.</p>	Closed - in backlog	Cate Boerema	<p>Thanks, Ann-Marie Breaux. This seems like a bug. Can you please file one in UIU and label it "sprint32"? The summary should be something like "User detail record should always open when accessing via link" and the steps to repro are as you outlined. Expected behavior is that the user detail record is open when accessed via link. Actual behavior is that the user detail record is closed when accessed via link.</p> <p>Done: see UIU-384. Thanks, Cate Boerema!</p>

