

# 2018-11-26 Resource Access Meeting Notes

## Date

26 Nov 2018

## Attendees

- [Andrea Loigman](#)
- [Holly Mistlebauer](#)
- [Cate Boerema](#)
- [David Bottorff](#)
- [Sean Thomas](#)
- [William Weare](#)
- [Kai Sprenger](#)
- [Lisa perchermeier](#)
- [Joanne Leary](#)
- [Mark Canney](#)
- [Deb Lamb](#)
- [Katharina Haas](#)
- [Kimie Kester](#) (
- [Rameka Barnes](#)
- [Susan Kimball](#)

## Discussion items

| Time  | Item   | Who                               | Description   | Goals  |
|-------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 5min  | Housekeeping   | <a href="#">Andrea Loigman</a>    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notetaker - <a href="#">Sean Thomas</a></li> </ul>   |  |
| 15min | Resource Access Reports                                  | <a href="#">Holly Mistlebauer</a> | <p>We have identified both in-app and data warehouse Resource Access reports (review document <a href="#">here</a>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In-app reports are being developed by the Product Owners</li> <li>• Data warehouse reports are being developed by the Reporting SIG members</li> </ul> <p>Have Resource Access reports for your institution been identified?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• View institution overview <a href="#">here</a></li> <li>• View all Resource Access reports <a href="#">here</a></li> <li>• View all User Management reports <a href="#">here</a> (there may be overlap)</li> </ul> <p>If there are more reports needed, what should RA SIG members do?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with your Reporting SIG member to identify reports</li> <li>• Reporting SIG members are aware of <a href="#">steps for adding a new report</a></li> <li>• One step is to contact me for help in determining if report is in-app report</li> </ul> |  |
| 20min | Request policy vs loan policy: which fields belong where | <a href="#">Cate Boerema</a>      | <p>Request policy vs loan policy: which fields belong where. To answer this question we need to decide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whose patron group should be considered when deciding things like is this requestable, can it be delivered, alternate loan policy for items with existing requests, recall return interval. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Because there can be requests without loans, at least some of these must look at the requester's patron group</li> <li>• But others seem like they really ought to look at the borrower's patron group</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Settings related to the borrower's patron group really ought to live in the loan policy (simpler from a development perspective and clearer from a user perspective)</li> </ul>   | <p>Review every setting in the current request policy mock-up and decide which patron group is the determining factor</p> <p>Based on the above, agree on which settings belong in the loan policy vs request policy</p> |

## Meeting Outcomes

| Functional Area | Product Owner | Planned Release (if known) | Decision Reached | Reasoning | Link to Supporting Materials | Comments |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------------------|----------|
|                 |               |                            |                  |           |                              |          |

| <i>e.g. loans, fees/fines</i> | <i>Name</i>  | <i>e.g. Q4 2018, Q1 2019</i> | <i>Clearly stated decision</i>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Because</i></li> <li>• <i>Because</i></li> <li>• <i>Because</i></li> </ul> | <i>e.g. mock-up, JIRA issue</i> |   |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Requests                      | Cate Boerema | Q1                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SIG is open to moving loan-related settings to loan policy - no firm decision, just an openness</li> <li>• Cate to present some revised mockups for further review and discussion</li> </ul> |  | Request Policy Mockup           | For later: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We need to discuss Rush recalls - new feature</li> <li>• We need to discuss how request queues are prioritized. SIG no longer happy with FIFO approach for v1. Recalls likely need to bump to the top of the queue</li> </ul> |
|                               |              |                              |   |  |                                 |   |

## Notes