2020-10-26 Resource Access Meeting Notes

Date
26 Oct 2020

Attendees

- Andrea Loigman
- Brooks Travis
- Patty Wanninger
- Emma Boettcher
- Cheryl Malmborg
- Andy Horbal
- Anya Arnold
- Elizabeth Chenette
- Cornelia Awenius
- Darcy Branchini
- Holly Mistlebauer
- Kimie Kester
- Mark Canney
- Molly Driscoll
- Mary E Yokubaitis
- Joanne Leary

Discussion Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Goals/Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2min</td>
<td>Adminstrivia</td>
<td>Andrea Loigman</td>
<td>Thursday will be cancelled due to a low on topics this week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15Min</td>
<td>Field Restrictions in User Management</td>
<td>Patty Wanninger</td>
<td>Khalilah closed it as &quot;won't do&quot; back when she was UM PO but it keeps coming up. We could easily do this if we were willing to have a UI-only permission restriction, but we have been reluctant to do that for security reasons. Do we want to reconsider? What if we made the fact that the data is only restricted in the UI explicit in the permission name?</td>
<td>Cannot restrict contact information access because it is part of the User main schema. Discussed ability to protect fields from being overwritten by User Import - Cornell and Chicago are using custom loaders/middleware - Pre-processing records not really a viable option for everyone (significant barrier to adoption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Min</td>
<td>Claimed returned: add search</td>
<td>Emma Boettcher</td>
<td>Limit number of searches: by service point, location, &quot;owner&quot;?</td>
<td>Discussion not needed. Covered at previous meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirm circ behavior for new statuses:

- **Long Missing**
  - Check-in: allow, require confirmation, notify if suppressed
  - Check-out: allow, require confirmation, notify if suppressed
  - Requests: allow none
- **In Process (not requestable)**
  - Check-in: Allow, require confirmation, notify if suppressed
  - Check-out: Allow, require confirmation, notify if suppressed
  - Requests: allow none
- **Unavailable**
  - Check-in: Allow, require confirmation, notify if suppressed
  - Check-out: Allow, require confirmation, notify if suppressed
  - Requests: allow none
- **"Dummy"**
  - Check-in: Prevent
  - Check-out: Prevent
  - Requests: allow none

**Discussion around CDL/ETAS**
- Subgroup meeting to discuss on Thursday (10/29) during normal RA SIG meeting time

### Meeting Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Area</th>
<th>Product Owner</th>
<th>Planned Release (if known)</th>
<th>Decision Reached</th>
<th>Reasoning</th>
<th>Link to supporting materials</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e.g. loans, fees/lines</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>e.g. Q4 2018, Q1 2019</td>
<td>Clearly stated decision</td>
<td>• Because...</td>
<td>e.g. mock-up, JIRA issue</td>
<td>Consistent with previously agreed-on behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.g. loans, fees/lines</td>
<td>Emma Boettcher</td>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>Warn but ultimately allow circulation of long missing or in process (not requestable) items; prevent circulation of dummy items. Prevent requests for all three</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**