

# 2021-10-13 Data Import Subgroup meeting

Recordings are posted [Here](#)

[Slack channel](#) for Q&A, discussion between meetings

Additional discussion topics in [Subgroup parking lot](#)

Attendees: [Ann-Marie Breaux](#) [Tim Watters](#) [LisaMcColl](#) [Jennifer Eustis](#), [Leeda Adkins](#), [Jenn Colt](#), [Raegan Wiechert](#) [Mark Arnold](#), [Christie Thomas](#)

## Development update:

- [Kiwi release timeline](#):
  - 1st week of Sprint 125
  - Kiwi Bugfest prep this week
  - Bugfest starts next week
  - A-M still adding test cases; thank you to folks who wrote some
  - Kiwi Bugfest environment not yet available; A-M still needs to clean out old profiles before Monday
- [Kiwi Folijet planning](#): dashboard where you can see the current scope and status of Data Import work for Kiwi
- Current Data Import [feature development dashboard](#) and [bugfix support](#)
- Most recent development work
  - Finished [Juniper hotfixes](#) (mainly stabilizing EDIFACT invoices with acquisitions groups)
  - All Kiwi initial releases
- Optimistic locking: POs and Dev Leads met yesterday to start planning testing for Lotus
- Import/Export/quickMARC sandbox environment
  - First draft created, but not yet ready for use
  - Khalilah, Magda, and A-M met yesterday to discuss guidelines
- From last week's meeting:
  - When an updated MARC-to-Instance map is delivered as part of a release, how does it affect a library's customized map?
  - Once the map has been updated, it possible to do a refresh of the instances to take the updated mappings into account? (can we crib Ian's script?)
  - See [new wiki information page](#)
  - And [possible formalized script in Lotus](#)

## Agenda topic:

- Lotus Feature Prep: [Update Inventory records based on POL/VRN matches](#)
  - See notes on the feature page
  - [A-M to clean up the edited feature page - DONE](#)
    - [Moved some use cases to Create invoices by importing MARC Bibliographic Records](#)
  - [Subgroup folks](#)
    - [Send A-M examples of MARC records with 9xx data meant to update multiple holdings or items](#)
    - [Think about scenarios where you're trying to match from a MARC field that is repeatable \(e.g. a 9xx field\) and to a field that is repeatable \(e.g. POL's Vendor Reference. Number\) - would this affect the matching logic?](#)
- Next week: continue refining this feature