ERM Sprint 62 Retrospective

Date	08 May 2019
Sprint Report	https://issues.folio.org/secure/RapidBoard.jspa?rapidView=89&view=reporting&chart=sprintRetrospective&sprint=201
Sprint Log	ERM Sprint 62
Sprint Focus	Contacts and file uploads

Participants

- Benjamin Ahlborn
- Gill Osguthorpe
- Ian Ibbotson
- Jag Goraya
- John Allen Fereira
- Kurt Nordstrom
- Mark Deutsch
- Peter Böhm
- Steve Osguthorpe

Apologies

Agenda

1. Review Retro Board (10 mins)
2. Voting (2 mins)
3. Discussion (15 mins)
4. Summary Actions (3 mins)

Retrospective

- Can we encourage participation of testers in the sprint review and retrospective processes - make testers feel more part of the core team and process - testers say they won't hold back from contributing tho if a burning issue crops up
- John made first PR - thats a good milestone
- John - Flow improving - familiarity with tech stack improving, groovy & grails improving
- Problems - it's hard at the start when everything is new, language, environment, team, etc
- Adi - Solid progress on bigtest
- Peter - Access to server and config of VMs is still a problem
- Gill - Good to see progress
- Kurt - Flow improving with tech stack, similar issues with transitioning to the new stack etc
- MD - Encourage people to participate in the public chat on erm-dev for knowledge sharing and comms
- Steve - Been away most of last sprint

Personal highlights	**What went well**	**What caused problems**
- First PR - Solid milestone - Good to see new functionality appearing in deployed systems - Familiarity with tools and techniques improving - Bigtest infrastructure installed - good step forwards - General Tooling and onboarding improving	- Really great feedback from the stakeholders and project about the quality and efficiency of our work - got some explicit feedback from Kirstin.	- Onboarding - Insufficient communication in public channels on slack - Devops problems impact development priorities - as we're a shared resource - Not enough cross knowledge sharing going on - Jira issue management: missed sprint closure on work that was essentially done

Discussion Notes

Not enough cross knowledge sharing going on

- Limited time to go through everything: poll team for priority WIP demo and engineering design notes each week

Actions

- ☑